

ON THE EXCLUDED MIDDLE LAW AND THE CONTRADICTION LAW FOR FUZZY PROBABILITY

YONG SIK YUN

Department of Mathematics and Information, Cheju National University, Korea

ABSTRACT. We consider a probability measure P on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ and a fuzzy probability \tilde{P} on 2^Ω . We prove that the fuzzy probability becomes a probability measure and satisfies elementary properties. But, the excluded middle law and the contradiction law do not hold in fuzzy probability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a nonempty set. Let \mathfrak{F} be a σ -field of subsets of Ω , that is, a nonempty class of subsets of Ω which is closed under countable union and complementation.

Let P be a measure defined on \mathfrak{F} satisfying $P(\Omega) = 1$. Then the triple $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ is called a probability space, and P , a probability measure. The set Ω is the sure event, and elements of \mathfrak{F} are called events.

We note that, if $A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then A_n^c , $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ (if it exists) are events. Also, the probability measure P is defined on \mathfrak{F} , and for all events A, A_n ,

$$P(A) \geq 0, \quad P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) \text{ (} A_n \text{'s disjoint)}, \quad P(\Omega) = 1.$$

A fuzzy set A on Ω is called a *fuzzy event*. Let $\mu_A(\cdot)$ be the membership function of the fuzzy event A . Then the fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event A is defined by Zadeh([12]) as

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) dP(\omega), \quad \mu_A(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1].$$

In this paper, we prove that the fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event becomes a probability measure on 2^Ω , i.e., satisfies the following (P.1), (P.2) and (P.3) and has some properties (1)~(10).

(P.1) For every fuzzy events $A \subset \Omega$, $0 \leq \tilde{P}(A) \leq 1$.

(P.2) $\tilde{P}(\Omega) = 1$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47N30

Key words and phrases: excluded middle law, fuzzy probability

(P.3) For disjoint fuzzy events A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(A_i).$$

(1) $\tilde{P}(\emptyset) = 0$.

(2) For disjoint fuzzy events A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$),

$$\tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}(A_i).$$

For any fuzzy events A and B ,

(3) If $A \subset B$, then $\tilde{P}(A) \leq \tilde{P}(B)$.

(4) $\tilde{P}(A \cup B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B)$.

(5) $\tilde{P}(A^c) = 1 - \tilde{P}(A)$.

(6) $\tilde{P}(A \hat{+} B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cdot B)$.

(7) $\tilde{P}(A \cap (B \cup C)) = \tilde{P}((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)) = \tilde{P}(A \cap B) + \tilde{P}(A \cap C) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B \cap C)$.

(8) $\tilde{P}(A \cup (A \cap B)) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(A \cap B) - \tilde{P}(A \cap A \cap B) = \tilde{P}(A)$.

(9) $\tilde{P}(A \cup \emptyset) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(\emptyset) - \tilde{P}(\emptyset) = \tilde{P}(A)$.

(10) $\tilde{P}(A \cup \Omega) = \tilde{P}(\Omega)$, $\tilde{P}(A \cap \Omega) = \tilde{P}(A)$.

In probability theory, the following excluded middle law and the contradiction law hold, i.e., for any events $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}$,

(1) $P(A \cup A^c) = P(\Omega) = 1$.

(2) $P(A \cap A^c) = P(\emptyset) = 0$.

(3) $A \subset B \Rightarrow P(B - A) = P(B) - P(A)$.

But, it does not hold in fuzzy probability, i.e., for any fuzzy events A and B ,

(1) $\tilde{P}(A \cup A^c) \neq \tilde{P}(\Omega)$.

(2) $\tilde{P}(A \cap A^c) \neq \tilde{P}(\emptyset)$.

(3) $A \subset B \not\Rightarrow \tilde{P}(B - A) = \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A)$.

2. FUZZY SET OPERATOR

When interval is defined on real number \mathbb{R} , this interval is said to be a subset of \mathbb{R} . For instance, if interval is denoted as $A = [a_1, a_3]$, $a_1, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_1 < a_3$, we may regard this as one kind of sets. Expressing the interval as membership function is

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < a_1, \quad a_3 < x, \\ 1, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_3. \end{cases}$$

If $a_1 = a_3$, this interval indicate a point. That is $[a_1, a_1] = a_1$.

Let X be a set of elements, called the universe, whose elements are denoted x . Membership in a classical subset A of X is often viewed as a characteristic function μ_A from X to $[0, 1]$ such that $\mu_A(x) = 1$ iff $x \in A$, and $\mu_A(x) = 0$ iff $x \notin A$. $[0, 1]$ is called a valuation set.

Definition 2.1. If the valuation set is allowed to be the real interval $[0,1]$, A is called a fuzzy set. $\mu_A(x)$ is to 1, the more x belongs to A .

Clearly, A is a subset of X that has no sharp boundary. A is completely characterized by the set of pairs

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)), x \in X\}.$$

When X is a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, a fuzzy set A on X is expressed as

$$A = \mu_A(x_1)/x_1 + \dots + \mu_A(x_n)/x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_A(x_i)/x_i.$$

When X is not finite, we write

$$A = \int_X \mu_A(x)/x.$$

Two fuzzy sets A and B are said to be equal (denoted $A = B$) if and only if $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x), \forall x \in X$.

Example 2.2. $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Membership function for $A = \{\text{three or so}\}$ is given as follows;

$$\mu_A(1) = 0.3, \mu_A(2) = 0.6, \mu_A(3) = 1, \mu_A(4) = 0.5, \mu_A(5) = 0.1, \mu_A(6) = 0,$$

i.e., $A = 0.3/1 + 0.6/2 + 1/3 + 0.5/4 + 0.1/5 + 0/6$.

Example 2.3. $X = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu_A(x) = \frac{1}{1 + (x - 7)^2}$, i.e.,

$$A = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1 + (x - 7)^2} / x.$$

A is a fuzzy set of real numbers clustered around 7.

Definition 2.4. Operations of fuzzy sets are defined as

(1) Union $A \cup B$:

$$\mu_{A \cup B}(x) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(2) Intersection $A \cap B$:

$$\mu_{A \cap B}(x) = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(3) Complement A^c :

$$\mu_{A^c}(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(4) Probabilistic sum $A \hat{+} B$:

$$\mu_{A \hat{+} B}(x) = \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(5) Probabilistic product $A \cdot B$:

$$\mu_{A \cdot B}(x) = \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(6) Bounded sum $A \oplus B$:

$$\mu_{A \oplus B}(x) = \min\{1, \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(7) Bounded product $A \odot B$:

$$\mu_{A \odot B}(x) = \max\{0, \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - 1\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(8) Drastic sum $A \sqcup B$:

$$\mu_{A \sqcup B}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(x), & \text{if } \mu_B(x) = 0, \\ \mu_B(x), & \text{if } \mu_A(x) = 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(9) Drastic product $A \sqcap B$:

$$\mu_{A \sqcap B}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(x), & \text{if } \mu_B(x) = 1, \\ \mu_B(x), & \text{if } \mu_A(x) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(10) Difference $A - B$:

$$A - B = A \cap B^c.$$

Definition 2.5. For two fuzzy sets (A, μ_A) and (B, μ_B) , A is a subset of B denoted $A \subset B$ if for all $x \in X$, $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$.

Theorem 2.6. For two fuzzy sets (A, μ_A) and (B, μ_B) ,

(1) $A \cup B \subset A \hat{+} B \subset A \oplus B$.

(2) $A \odot B \subset A \cdot B \subset A \cap B$.

Proof.

(1) For every $x \in X$, since $0 \leq \mu_A(x) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \mu_B(x) \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{A \cup B}(x) &= \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} \\
 &\leq \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(x) \\
 &= \mu_{A \hat{+} B}(x) \\
 &\leq \min\{1, \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x)\} \\
 &= \mu_{A \oplus B}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every $x \in X$, since $0 \leq \mu_A(x) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu_B(x) \leq 1$ and

$$\mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(x) - (\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - 1) = (\mu_A(x) - 1)(\mu_B(x) - 1) \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{A \odot B}(x) &= \max\{0, \mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) - 1\} \\
 &\leq \mu_A(x) \cdot \mu_B(x) \\
 &= \mu_{A \cdot B}(x) \\
 &\leq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\} \\
 &= \mu_{A \cap B}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.7. Let $A = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 0.9), (3, 1), (4, 0.9), (5, 0.5), (6, 0.3)\}$,
 $B = \{(2, 0.4), (3, 0.8), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 0.8), (7, 0.7)\}$ and $X = \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$.

$$A \cup B = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 0.9), (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 0.8), (7, 0.7)\}.$$

$$A \cap B = \{(2, 0.4), (3, 0.8), (4, 0.9), (5, 0.5), (6, 0.3)\}.$$

$$A^c = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 0.1), (4, 0.1), (5, 0.5), (6, 0.7), (7, 1), (8, 1), (9, 1), (10, 1)\}.$$

$$A \hat{+} B = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 0.94), (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 0.86), (7, 0.7)\}.$$

$$A \cdot B = \{(2, 0.36), (3, 0.8), (4, 0.9), (5, 0.5), (6, 0.24)\}.$$

$$A \oplus B = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 1), (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 1), (7, 0.7)\}.$$

$$A \odot B = \{(2, 0.3), (3, 0.8), (4, 0.9), (5, 0.5), (6, 0.1)\}.$$

$$A \sqcup B = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 1), (3, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (6, 1), (7, 0.7)\}.$$

$$A \sqcap B = \{(3, 0.8), (4, 0.9), (5, 0.5)\}.$$

$$A - B = \{(1, 0.5), (2, 0.6), (3, 0.2), (6, 0.2)\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. Fuzzy set operator have the following properties.

(1) Commutative law : $A \cup B = B \cup A$, $A \cap B = B \cap A$.

(2) Associative law :

$$\begin{aligned} A \cup (B \cup C) &= (A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup B \cup C, \\ A \cap (B \cap C) &= (A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap B \cap C. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Distributive law :

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap (B \cup C) &= (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C), \\ A \cup (B \cap C) &= (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Involution : $(A^c)^c = A$.

(5) Idempotency : $A \cup A = A$, $A \cap A = A$.

(6) Absorption : $A \cup (A \cap B) = A$, $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$.

(7) Identity : $A \cup \phi = A$, $A \cap \phi = \phi$.

(8) Absorption by ϕ and U : $A \cap \phi = \phi$, $A \cup U = U$.

(9) De Morgan's law :

$$(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c, \quad (A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c.$$

3. PROBABILITY THEORY

Let Ω be a nonempty set. Let \mathfrak{F} be a σ -field of subsets of Ω , that is, a nonempty class of subsets of Ω which is closed under countable union and complementation.

Let P be a measure defined on \mathfrak{F} satisfying $P(\Omega) = 1$. Then the triple $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ is called a probability space, and P , a probability measure. The set Ω is the sure event, and elements of \mathfrak{F} are called events.

We note that, if $A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then A_n^c , $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ (if it exists) are events. Also, the probability measure P is defined on \mathfrak{F} , and for all events A, A_n ,

$$P(A) \geq 0, \quad P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) \text{ (} A_n \text{'s disjoint)}, \quad P(\Omega) = 1.$$

It follows that

$$P(\emptyset) = 0, \quad P(A) \leq P(B) \text{ for } A \subset B, \quad P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n).$$

Moreover,

$$P(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) \leq P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n):$$

and, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ exists, then

$$P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n).$$

This is known as the continuity property of probability measures.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a probability space. A real-valued function X defined on Ω is said to be a random variable if

$$X^{-1}(E) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in E\} \in \mathfrak{F} \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{B},$$

where \mathcal{B} is the σ -field of Borel sets in $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$; that is, a random variable X is a measurable transformation of $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ into $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$.

It suffices to require that $X^{-1}(I) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all intervals $I = (-\infty, b]$, and so on.

We note that a random variable X defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ induces a measure P_X on \mathcal{B} defined by the relation

$$P_X(E) = P\{X^{-1}(E)\} \quad (E \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Clearly, P_X is a probability measure on \mathcal{B} and is called the probability distribution or the distribution of X . We note that P_X is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on \mathcal{B} .

Definition 3.2. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ set

$$F_X(x) = P_X(-\infty, x] = P\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq x\}.$$

We call $F_X = F$ the distribution of the random variable X .

Theorem 3.3. The distribution function F of a random variable X is a nondecreasing, right-continuous function on \mathbb{R} which satisfies

$$F(-\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$$

and

$$F(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a probability space, and X be a random variable defined on it. Let g be a real-valued Borel-measurable function on \mathbb{R} . Then $g(X)$ is also a random variable.

Definition 3.4. We say that the mathematical expectation of $g(X)$ exists if $E[g(X)]$ of the random variable $g(X)$

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{\Omega} g(X(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} g(X) dP$$

is finite.

We note that a random variable X defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ induces a measure P_X on a Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ defined by the relation $P_X(B) = P\{X^{-1}(B)\}$. Then P_X becomes a probability measure on \mathcal{B} and is called the probability distribution of X . It is known that if $E[g(X)]$ exists, then g is also integrable over \mathbb{R} with respect to P_X . Moreover, the relation

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} g(X) dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) dP_X(t)$$

holds. We note that the integral on the right-hand side of (3.1) is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral of g with respect to P_X .

In particular, if g is continuous on \mathbb{R} and $E[g(X)]$ exists, we can rewrite (3.1) as follows

$$\int_{\Omega} g(X) dP = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g dP_X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF(x),$$

where F is the distribution function corresponding to P_X , and the last integral is a Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

Let F be absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} with probability density function $f(x) = F'(x)$. Then $E[g(X)]$ exists if and only if $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|f(x)dx$ is finite and in that case we have

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f(x) dx.$$

We note some elementary properties of random variables with finite expectations which follow as immediate consequences of the properties of integrable functions. Denote by $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{F}_1(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ the set of all random variables with finite expectations. In the following we write a.s. to abbreviate "almost surely with respect to the probability distribution of X on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{B})$ ".

- (1) $X, Y \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \alpha X + \beta Y \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $E(\alpha X + \beta Y) = \alpha E[X] + \beta E[Y]$.
- (2) $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \Rightarrow |E[X]| \leq E[|X|]$.
- (3) $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1, X \geq 0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow E[X] \geq 0$.

- (4) Let $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1$. Then $E[|X|] = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = 0$ a.s..
 (5) For $E \in \mathfrak{F}$, write χ_E for the indicator function of the set E , that is, $\chi_E = 1$ on E and $\chi_E = 0$ otherwise. Then $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1 \Rightarrow X \cdot \chi_E \in \mathfrak{F}_1$, and we write

$$\int_E X dP = E[X \cdot \chi_E].$$

Also, $E[|X| \cdot \chi_E] = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ either $P(E) = 0$ or $X = 0$ a.s. on E .

- (6) If $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1$, then $X = 0$ a.s. $\Leftrightarrow E[X \cdot \chi_E] = 0$ for all $E \in \mathfrak{F}$.
 (7) Let $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $E \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $\alpha \leq X \leq \beta$ a.s. on E for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\alpha P(E) \leq \int_E X dP \leq \beta P(E).$$

- (8) Let $Y \in \mathfrak{F}_1$, and X be a random variable such that $|X| \leq |Y|$ a.s.. Then $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $E[|X|] \leq E[|Y|]$. In particular, if X is bounded a.s., then $X \in \mathfrak{F}_1$.

Example 3.5. Let the random variable X (denoted $X \sim N(m, \sigma^2)$) have the normal distribution given by the probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\sigma^2 > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $E[|X|^\gamma] < \infty$ for every $\gamma > 0$, and we have

$$E[X] = m \quad \text{and} \quad E[(X - m)^2] = \sigma^2.$$

The induced measure P_X is called the normal distribution.

4. MAIN RESULTS

A fuzzy set A on Ω is called a *fuzzy event*. Let $\mu_A(\cdot)$ be the membership function of the fuzzy event A . Then the fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event A is defined by Zadeh([12]) as

$$\tilde{P}(A) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) dP(\omega), \quad \mu_A(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1].$$

Theorem 4.1. The fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event becomes a probability measure on 2^Ω , i.e., satisfies the following properties.

- (P.1) For every fuzzy events $A \subset \Omega$, $0 \leq \tilde{P}(A) \leq 1$.
 (P.2) $\tilde{P}(\Omega) = 1$.
 (P.3) For disjoint fuzzy events A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(A_i).$$

Proof.

(P.1) For every fuzzy events $A \subset \Omega$, $0 \leq \mu_A(\omega) \leq 1$. Thus

$$0 \leq \tilde{P}(A) \leq 1.$$

(P.2) Since $\mu_{\Omega}(\omega) = 1$,

$$\tilde{P}(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \mu_{\Omega}(\omega) dP(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} dP(\omega) = 1.$$

(P.3) Since A_i 's disjoint, $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$. Therefore $\mu_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{A_i}(\omega)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{A_i}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A_i}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event becomes a probability measure on 2^{Ω} . □

Theorem 4.2. The fuzzy probability of a fuzzy event satisfies the following properties.

- (1) $\tilde{P}(\emptyset) = 0$.
- (2) For disjoint fuzzy events A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$),

$$\tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}(A_i).$$

For any fuzzy events A and B ,

- (3) If $A \subset B$, then $\tilde{P}(A) \leq \tilde{P}(B)$.
- (4) $\tilde{P}(A \cup B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B)$, equivalently,
 $\tilde{P}(A \cap B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cup B)$.
- (5) $\tilde{P}(A^c) = 1 - \tilde{P}(A)$.
- (6) $\tilde{P}(A \hat{+} B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cdot B)$, equivalently,

$$\tilde{P}(A \cdot B) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \hat{+} B).$$

Proof.

(1) Let $A_i = \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then A_i 's disjoint and $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \emptyset = \emptyset$. Thus by (P.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(\emptyset) &= \tilde{P}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(\emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

By (P.1), $0 \leq \tilde{P}(\emptyset) \leq 1$. Thus $\tilde{P}(\emptyset) = 0$.

(2) Let $A_j = \emptyset$ for all $j > n \in \mathbb{N}$, then A_i 's disjoint and $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ by Theorem 2.8. Thus by (P.3) and (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) &= \tilde{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{P}(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Since $A \subset B$, $\mu_A(\omega) \leq \mu_B(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(A) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \mu_B(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \tilde{P}(B). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since $\mu_{A \cup B}(\omega) = \mu_A(\omega) + \mu_B(\omega) - \mu_{A \cap B}(\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(A \cup B) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A \cup B}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A(\omega) + \mu_B(\omega) - \mu_{A \cap B}(\omega)) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) dP(\omega) + \int_{\Omega} \mu_B(\omega) dP(\omega) - \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A \cap B}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

(5) Since $\mu_{A^c}(\omega) = 1 - \mu_A(\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}(A^c) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A^c}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (1 - \mu_A(\omega)) dP(\omega) \\ &= 1 - \tilde{P}(A).\end{aligned}$$

(6) Since $\mu_{A\hat{+}B}(\omega) = \mu_A(\omega) + \mu_B(\omega) - \mu_A(\omega) \cdot \mu_B(\omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}(A\hat{+}B) &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_{A\hat{+}B}(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A(\omega) + \mu_B(\omega) - \mu_A(\omega) \cdot \mu_B(\omega)) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) dP(\omega) + \int_{\Omega} \mu_B(\omega) dP(\omega) - \int_{\Omega} \mu_A(\omega) \cdot \mu_B(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A \cdot B).\end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3. We have the following properties.

- (1) $\tilde{P}(A \cap (B \cup C)) = \tilde{P}((A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)) = \tilde{P}(A \cap B) + \tilde{P}(A \cap C) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B \cap C)$.
- (2) $\tilde{P}(A \cup (A \cap B)) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(A \cap B) - \tilde{P}(A \cap A \cap B) = \tilde{P}(A)$.
- (3) $\tilde{P}(A \cup \emptyset) = \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(\emptyset) - \tilde{P}(\emptyset) = \tilde{P}(A)$.
- (4) $\tilde{P}(A \cup \Omega) = \tilde{P}(\Omega)$, $\tilde{P}(A \cap \Omega) = \tilde{P}(A)$.

Proof. It is clear by Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 4.2. □

By Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}(A \cup B \cup C) &= \tilde{P}(A) + \tilde{P}(B) + \tilde{P}(C) - \tilde{P}(A \cap B) - \tilde{P}(B \cap C) - \tilde{P}(C \cap A) \\ &\quad + \tilde{P}(A \cap B \cap C).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following theorem by induction.

Theorem 4.4. For any fuzzy events A_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, put

$$S_k = \sum_{|J|=k} \tilde{P}\left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right).$$

Then

$$\tilde{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} S_k.$$

Let $A \subset B$. Since $B - A = B \cap A^c$,

$$\mu_{B-A}(\omega) = \min\{\mu_B(\omega), \mu_{A^c}(\omega)\} = \min\{\mu_B(\omega), 1 - \mu_A(\omega)\}.$$

Thus $\mu_{B-A}(\omega)$ can not be represented by $\mu_B(\omega), \mu_A(\omega)$ and $\min\{\mu_B(\omega), \mu_A(\omega)\}$. This implies that

$$A \subset B \not\equiv \tilde{P}(B - A) = \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A).$$

Furthermore, the excluded middle law and the contradiction law do not hold in fuzzy events, i.e.,

$$A \cup A^c \neq \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad A \cap A^c \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus we have the following main theorem.

Theorem 4.5. For any fuzzy events A and B ,

- (1) $\tilde{P}(A \cup A^c) \neq \tilde{P}(\Omega)$.
- (2) $\tilde{P}(A \cap A^c) \neq \tilde{P}(\emptyset)$.
- (3) $A \subset B \not\equiv \tilde{P}(B - A) = \tilde{P}(B) - \tilde{P}(A)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bhattacharyya, *Fuzzy Markovian decision process*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **Vol. 99** (1998), 273–282.
- [2] D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems : Theory and Applications*, Academic Press, London (1980).
- [3] P.R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand, New York (1950).
- [4] A. Kaufmann, *Introduction to the theory of fuzzy subsets*, Academic Press, New York (1975).
- [5] S. Lim and J.K. Um, *The concept of fuzzy probability*, Journal of the Korean statistical society **Vol.21, No.2** (1992), 111–125.
- [6] M.Mizumoto and K.Tanaka, *Some Properties of Fuzzy Sets of Type 2*, Information and control **Vol.31** (1976), 312-340.
- [7] J.C. Song and Y.S. Yun, *On the normal fuzzy probability*, Journal of Basic Sciences **Vol.17, No.2** (2004), 17–27.
- [8] Zhongyong. Xia, *Fuzzy probability system : Fuzzy probability space.1*, North - Holland **Vol.120, No.3**.
- [9] Y.S. Yun, J.C. Song and J.W. Park, *Normal fuzzy probability for quadratic fuzzy number*, Journal of fuzzy logic and intelligent systems **Vol.15, No.3** (2005), 277–281.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, *Information and Control* **Vol.8, No.338** (1965).
- [11] L.A.Zadeh, *Outline of a new approach to the analysis of complex systems and decision processes*, IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics **Vol.SMC-3, No.1** (1973), 28-44.
- [12] L.A. Zadeh, *Probability measures of fuzzy events*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **Vol.23** (1968), 421–427.
- [13] L.A. Zadeh, *The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning - I*, Information Sciences **Vol.8** (1975), 199–249.
- [14] H.J.Zimmermann, *Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications*, Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing (1985).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1 ARA1-DONG, JEJU-SHI, JEJU-DO, 690-756, KOREA
E-mail address: yunys@cheju.ac.kr