

Structural Analysis of Nonsquare Matrices Using Permanent Theory

Song Seok-zun*

퍼머넌트 이론에 의한 行列의 構造 分析

宋 錫 準*

Summary

Many studies of permanents theory are related on doubly stochastic n -square matrices. In this paper, we define a partial doubly stochastic $m \times n$ matrices and analyze the structures of such matrices and their permanents. And we investigate the structures of fully indecomposable $m \times n$ matrices, partly decomposable $m \times n$ matrices and contraction matrices of partial doubly stochastic matrices.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Many studies on permanents theory are related on doubly stochastic n -square matrices. In this paper, we define a partial doubly stochastic $m \times n$ matrices and investigate such matrices.

Let $A=(a_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix over any commutative ring, $m \leq n$. The *permanent* of A , written $\text{Per}(A)$, is defined by

$$\text{Per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{m\sigma(m)}$$

where the summation extends over all one-to-one functions from $\{1, \dots, m\}$ to $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The sequence $(a_{1\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{m\sigma(m)})$ is called a *diagonal* of A .

Let $\Gamma_{r,n}$ denote the set of all n^r sequences $w=(w_1, \dots, w_r)$ of integers, $1 \leq w_i \leq n$, $i=1, \dots, r$. Let $Q_{r,n}$ denote the subset of $\Gamma_{r,n}$ consisting of all increasing sequences,

* 自然科學大學 助教授

$$Q_{r,n} = \{(w_1, \dots, w_r) \in \Gamma_{r,n} : 1 \leq w_1 < \dots < w_r \leq n\}.$$

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ denote the $m \times n$ matrix with entries from real numbers and let $\alpha \in Q_{h,m}$ and $\beta \in Q_{k,n}$. Then $A[\alpha : \beta]$ denotes the $h \times k$ submatrix of A whose (i,j) entry is $a_{\alpha_i \beta_j}$. And $A(\alpha|\beta)$ denotes the $(m-h) \times (n-k)$ submatrix of A complementary to $A[\alpha|\beta]$ —that is, the submatrix obtained from A by deleting rows α and columns β . The other definitions are referred to [4] "Permanents".

In this paper, we analyze the structures of fully indecomposable $m \times n$ matrices and partly decomposable $m \times n$ matrices. In particular, we define doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrices and investigate such matrices.

we assume that $m \leq n$ for all $m \times n$ matrices in this paper.

2. The structure and permanents of doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrices.

DEFINITION 1. A nonnegative $m \times n$ matrix is called *doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic* if all its row sums and k column sums are 1 but its remaining $(n-k)$ column sums are $\frac{m-k}{n-k}$ for some $0 \leq k < m \leq n$. If $m=n$, a doubly $c(n)$ -stochastic matrix is called a *doubly stochastic matrix*.

For examples, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{2}{8} & \frac{3}{8} & 0 & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{3}{8} & 0 & \frac{5}{8} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then A is a doubly $c(1)$ -stochastic 3×4

matrix and B is a doubly $c(0)$ -stochastic 3×4 matrix.

DEFINITION 2. ([4]) A nonnegative $m \times n$ matrix A is called *fully indecomposable* if $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) > 0$ for $i=1, \dots, m$, and $j=1, \dots, n$. Otherwise, A is called *partly decomposable*.

THEOREM 1. ([4]) Let A be a nonnegative $m \times n$ matrix. Then $\text{Per}(A) = 0$ if and only if A contains an $s \times (n-s+1)$ zero submatrix. (Extended version of *Frobenius and König Theorem*)

LEMMA 2. An $m \times n$ matrix A is partly decomposable if and only if there exist permutation matrices P and Q of orders m and n respectively, such that

$$PAQ = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \quad (1)$$

where 0 is an $s \times (n-s)$ submatrix ($s \geq 1$).

Proof. If A is an $m \times n$ partly decomposable matrix, then there exist i and j such that $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) = 0$. By Theorem 1, $A(i|j)$ contains an $s \times ((n-1)-s+1)$ zero submatrix. Hence A contains an $s \times (n-s)$ zero submatrix.

Now, assume that there exist permutation matrices P and Q of orders m and n respectively such that PAQ is of the form (1). Let (i,j) be a position in the submatrix C of PAQ . Then $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $PAQ(i|j)$ contains an $s \times ((n-1)-s+1)$ zero submatrix. By Theorem 1, $\text{Per}(PAQ(i|j)) = 0$ and hence $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) = 0$. Therefore A is a partly decomposable $m \times n$ matrix.

THEOREM 3. If an $m \times n$ matrix A is partly decomposable doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic ($k > 0$), then there exist permutation matrices P and Q of orders m and n respectively such that PAQ is a direct sum of an s -square doubly

stochastic matrix and an $(m-s) \times (n-s)$ doubly $c(k-s)$ -stochastic matrix.

Proof. Since A is partly decomposable, for some permutation matrices P and Q of orders m and n respectively, Lemma 2 implies that PAQ is of the form (1) in Lemma 2. Since PAQ is doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic, the sum of entries in last s rows of PAQ is s and hence

$$\sigma(D) = s$$

Where $\sigma(X)$ denotes the sum of entries in the matrix X . Since D is s -square and nonnegative, the sum of every column in D is 1 and hence $s \leq k$. Similarly, considering the entries in the first $n-s$ columns of doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic matrix PAQ , we can conclude that

$$\sigma(B) \geq \frac{m-k}{n-k} \times (n-k) + 1 \times (k-s) = m-s.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \sigma(PAQ) = \sigma(B) + \sigma(C) + \sigma(D) \\ &\geq (m-s) + \sigma(C) + s = m + \sigma(C) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sigma(C) \leq 0.$$

Since C is nonnegative, we must have

$$C = 0$$

and thus

$$PAQ = B \oplus D$$

where D is an s -square doubly stochastic matrix and B is an $(m-s) \times (n-s)$ doubly $c(k-s)$ -stochastic matrix.

THEOREM 4. A doubly $c(0)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrix is fully indecomposable if $m < n$.

Proof. Let A be a doubly $c(0)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrix with $m < n$. Assume that A is not fully indecomposable. Then there exist permutation matrices P and Q of orders m and n respectively such that PAQ is of the form (1). Since the sum of entries in the last s rows is s and the nonzero entries in them are all in the submatrix D , we have

$$\sigma(D) = s.$$

Since A is doubly $c(0)$ -stochastic and nonnegative, the sum of entries in the last k columns is greater than or equals to the sum of entries in the submatrix D . Hence

$$\frac{m}{n} \times s \geq \sigma(D) = s.$$

Therefore $m \geq n$, which is impossible. Hence A is fully indecomposable if $m < n$.

THEOREM 5. The permanent of a doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrix is positive.

Proof. If $\text{Per}(A) = 0$, then by Theorem 1, there exist permutation matrices P and Q such that PAQ is of the form (1), where the zero submatrix is $s \times (n-s+1)$ matrix. Since A is a doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic $m \times n$ matrix, we have

$$m = \sigma(PAQ) \leq \sigma(B) + \sigma(D)$$

Now, all the nonzero entries in the last s rows are contained in D and thus

$$\sigma(D) = s.$$

This implies that $s \leq k$. Similarly, all the nonzero entries in the first $(n-s+1)$ columns are contained in B and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(B) &\geq \frac{m-k}{n-k} \times (n-k) + 1 \times ((n-s+1)-(n-k)) \\ &= (m-k) + (k-s+1) = m-s+1 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$m \geq \sigma(B) + \sigma(D) \geq (m-s+1) + s = m+1$$

which is impossible.

COROLLARY 6. Every doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic matrix has a positive diagonal.

LEMMA 7. If A is a fully indecomposable $m \times n$ matrix and $c > 0$, then for every i and j ,

$$\text{Per}(A + cE_{ij}) > \text{Per}(A)$$

where E_{ij} denotes the $m \times n$ matrix with 1 in the (i, j) position and zeros elsewhere.

Proof. Using the expansion theorem for permanents, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Per}(A + cE_{ij}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \text{Per}((A + cE_{ij})(i|j)) \\ &= \text{Per}(A) + c \text{Per}(A(i|j)). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is fully indecomposable, $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) > 0$ for all i and j . Hence we have the result.

THEOREM 8. If an $m \times n$ matrix A is a fully indecomposable $(0, 1)$ -matrix, then

$$\text{Per}(A + \sum_{t=1}^r E_{i_t, j_t}) \geq \text{Per}(A) + r.$$

Proof. Since A is a $(0, 1)$ -matrix, definition 2 implies that $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) \geq 1$ for all i and j . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Per}(A + E_{i_t, j_t}) &= \text{Per}(A) + \text{Per}(A(i_t | j_t)) \\ &\geq \text{Per}(A) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 7. Clearly $A + E_{i_t, j_t}$ is fully indecomposable. The result now follows by induction on r .

THEOREM 9. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & B_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & & A_{r-1} & B_{r-1} \\ B_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_r \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \quad (2)$$

be a nonnegative $m \times n$ matrix, where A_i is a fully indecomposable $m_i \times n_i$ matrix, $i=1, \dots, r$, and $B_i \neq 0$, $i=1, \dots, r$. Then A is fully indecomposable.

Proof. Suppose that A is partly decomposable—i.e., that $A[\alpha|\beta] = 0$ for some $\alpha \in Q_{s,m}$ and $\beta \in Q_{t,n}$, where $s+t=n$. Let s_j of rows α and t_j of columns β intersect the submatrix A_j , $j=1, \dots, r$. Then $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_r = s > 1$, so that at least one of the s_j must be positive. Similarly, at least one of the t_j is not zero. Now, since each A_j is fully indecomposable and it contains an $s_j \times t_j$ zero submatrix (unless either $s_j=0$ or $t_j=0$), we must have $s_j + t_j \leq n_j$, where equality can hold only if $s_j=0$ or $t_j=0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} n = s + t &= \sum_{j=1}^r s_j + \sum_{j=1}^r t_j = \sum_{j=1}^r (s_j + t_j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^r n_j = n \end{aligned}$$

and thus $s_j + t_j = n_j$ for every j . It follows that either $s_j=0$ or $t_j=0$ for $j=1, \dots, r$. But not all the s_j nor all the t_j can be zero, and therefore there must exist an integer k such that $s_k = n_k$ and $t_{k+1} = n_{k+1}$ (subscripts reduced modulo r). It follows that B_k is a submatrix of a zero submatrix, contradicting our hy-

pothesis.

THEOREM 10. A fully indecomposable $m \times n$ matrix A has a row stochastic matrix which has the same zero pattern as A .

Proof. Since A is fully indecomposable, $\text{Per}(A(i|j)) > 0$ for all i, j , by definition 2. Let $S = (s_{ij})$ be the $m \times n$ matrix defined by

$$s_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{Per}(A(i|j)) / \text{Per}(A)$$

$i=1, \dots, m$ and $j=1, \dots, n$. Clearly S is nonnegative, and it has the same zero pattern as A . Also for $i=1, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\text{Per}(A)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \text{Per}(A(i|j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Per}(A)} \text{Per}(A) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence S is row stochastic.

DEFINITION 3 ([1,3]). If column h of an $m \times n$ matrix A contains exactly two nonzero entries, say, in rows i and j , then the $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $C(A)$ obtained from A by replacing row i with the sum of rows i and j and deleting row j and column h is called a *contraction* of A .

THEOREM 11. Let A be a nonnegative $m \times n$ matrix and let $C(A)$ be a contraction of A on column h relative to rows i and j .

(i) If rows i and j each contain at least two positive entries, then A is fully indecomposable if and only if $C(A)$ is fully indecomposable.

(ii) If A is a doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic matrix such that $a_{ih} + a_{jh} = 1$, $k \geq 1$, then $C(A)$

is a doubly $c(k-1)$ -stochastic matrix.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $C(A)$ is the contraction of A on column 1 relative to rows 1 and 2. Thus A and $C(A)$ have the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & U \\ a_{21} & V \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \quad C(A) = \begin{bmatrix} U + V \\ B \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1) \times (n-1)}$$

where $a_{11} \neq 0 \neq a_{21}$.

(i) Suppose $C(A)$ is not fully indecomposable. Then there exists an $s \times t$ zero submatrix $0_{s \times t}$ of $C(A)$ where $s+t=n-1$. If $0_{s \times t}$ is a submatrix of B , then clearly A has an $s \times (t+1)$ zero submatrix where $s+(t+1)=n$. Hence in this case A is not fully indecomposable. Suppose $0_{s \times t}$ is not a submatrix of B . Since a_{11} and a_{21} are positive while U and V are nonnegative, A has an $(s+1) \times t$ zero submatrix where $(s+1)+t=n$. Therefore A is not fully indecomposable.

Conversely, suppose A is not a fully indecomposable $m \times n$ matrix. Thus A contains an $s \times t$ zero submatrix $0_{s \times t}$ with $s+t=n$. If $0_{s \times t}$ is contained in the last $m-2$ rows of A , then B , and thus $C(A)$, contains an $s+(t-1)$ zero submatrix with $s+(t-1)=n-1$. Let $0_{s \times t}$ not be contained in the last $m-2$ rows of A . Then, since a_{11} and a_{21} are positive, $0_{s \times t}$ is contained in the last $n-1$ columns of A . Since rows 1 and 2 of A each contain at least two positive entries by assumption, $0_{s \times t}$ is a submatrix of neither U nor V . Hence $C(A)$ contains an $(s-1) \times t$ zero submatrix with $(s-1)+t=n-1$. Therefore $C(A)$ is not fully indecomposable.

(ii) Since A is a doubly $c(k)$ -stochastic matrix, the sum of entries in the first two

rows of A is 2. If $a_{11} + a_{21} = 1$ in A, then the sum of entries in the first row of C(A), that is $\sigma(U+V)$, is 1. therefore C(A) is row stochastic. Since C(A) is a contraction on the first column of A, C(A) has only (k-1) columns such that the sums of their columns each are 1. And the sums of the other $(n-1)-(k-1)$ columns each are $\frac{m-k}{n-k}$, that is $\frac{(m-1)-(k-1)}{(n-1)-(k-1)}$. Hence C(A) is a doubly c(k-1)-stochastic $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix.

Theorem 12. Let P and Q be m- and n-square (0, 1)-matrices respectively such that P has no zero rows and Q has no zero columns. Then

(1) if PAQ is partly decomposable for arbitrary $m \times n$ (0,1)-matrix A having a zero row, then P is a permutation matrix.

(2) if PAQ is partly decomposable for arbitrary $m \times n$ (0,1)-matrix A having a zero column, then Q is a permutation matrix.

Proof. (1) Suppose PAQ is partly decomposable for every A with a zero row. Let A_1 be the matrix all of whose entries equal 1 except those in the first row which equal 0. Since Q has no zero columns, it follows that $A_1 Q \geq A_1$. Let $P' = P(\cdot, \{2, \dots, m\})_{m \times (m-1)}$ and let $A_1' = A_1(\{2, \dots, m\}, \cdot)_{(m-1) \times n}$ so that all entries of A_1' equal 1. Then

$$PA_1 Q \geq PA_1 = P' A_1'$$

Since $PA_1 Q$ is partly decomposable, it now

follows that P' has a zero row. Since P has no zero rows, we conclude that some row of P equals $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. By considering the matrix A_i all of whose entries equal 1 except those in row i which equal 0 ($i=1, \dots, m$), we conclude in a similar way, that for each $i=1, \dots, m$, some row of P contains only 0's except for a 1 in column i. Hence P is a permutation matrix.

(2) Suppose PAQ is partly decomposable for every A with a zero column. Let A_1 be the matrix all of whose entries equal 1 except those in the first column which equal 0. Since P^t has no zero columns, it follows that

$$A_1^t P_1^t \geq A_1^t. \text{ Let } (Q^t)' = Q^t(\cdot, \{2, \dots, n\})_{n \times (n-1)} \text{ and let } (A_1^t)' = A_1^t(\{2, \dots, n\}, \cdot)_{(n-1) \times n} \text{ so that all entries of } (A_1^t)'$$

equal 1. Then

$$Q^t A_1^t P^t \geq Q^t A_1^t = (Q^t)' (A_1^t)'$$

Since $Q^t A_1^t P^t$ is partly decomposable, it now follows that $(Q^t)'$ has a zero row. Since Q^t has no zero rows, we conclude that some row of Q^t equals $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. By considering the matrix A_j all of whose entries equal 1 except in column j which equal 0 ($j=1, \dots, n$), we conclude in a similar way, that for each $j=1, \dots, n$, some row of Q^t contains only 0's except for a 1 in a column j. Hence Q^t and Q are permutation matrices.

References

[1] Brualdi, R. A. 1985. *An interesting face of the polytope of doubly stochastic matrices.* Lin.

- Multilin. Alg. 17, 5-18.
- [2] Brualdi. R. A. and P. M. Gibson. 1978. *The convex polyhedron of doubly stochastic matrices: 1. Applications of the permanent function*, J. Combi. theory, Ser. B., 22, 175-198.
- [3] Foregger. T. H. 1980. *On the minimum value of the permanent of a nearly decomposable doubly stochastic matrix*, Lin. Alg. Applic. 32, 75-85.
- [4] Minc. H. 1978. *Permanents*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its application 6, Addison-Wesley.
- [5] Minc. H. 1983. *Theory of Permanents 1978-1981*, Lin. Multilin. Alg., 227-263.
- [6] Minc. H. 1987. *Theory of Permanents 1982-1985*, Lin. Multilin. Alg., 21(2), 109-148.

摘 要

퍼머넌트 이론에 관한 많은 연구들은 주로 n 차의 정방행렬에 관련되어 왔다. 본 논문에서는 이러한 정방행렬에 관한 이론을 일반적인 $m \times n$ 행렬로 확장시켰다. 곧 분해할 수 없는 $m \times n$ 행렬과 분해가능한 $m \times n$ 행렬의 구조에 관한 정리들과 축약에 관한 정리들을 일반적으로 확장시켜 증명하였다.