

# On the Covariant Derivative of the Nonholonomic Vectors in $V_n$

Hyun Jin-oh

$V_n$  공간에서 Nonholonomic Vector 들의 공변미분에 관하여

玄 進 五

## I. Introduction

Let  $V_n$  be a  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian space referred to a real coordinate system  $x^\nu$  and defined by a fundamental metric tensor  $T_{\lambda\mu}$ , whose determinant

$$(1.1) \quad T \stackrel{def}{=} \text{Det}((T_{\lambda\mu})) \neq 0.$$

According to (1.1) there is a unique tensor  $T^{\lambda\nu} = T^{\nu\lambda}$  defined by

$$(1.2) \quad T_{\lambda\mu} T^{\lambda\nu} \stackrel{def}{=} \delta_\mu^\nu$$

Let  $e_i^\nu$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be a set of  $n$  linearly independent vectors. Then there is a unique reciprocal set of  $n$  linearly independent covariant vectors  $\hat{e}_\lambda^i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} e_i^\nu \hat{e}_\lambda^i &= \delta_\lambda^\nu ** \\ e_j^\lambda \hat{e}_\lambda^i &= \delta_j^i \end{aligned}$$

(\*\*) Throughout the present paper, Greek indices take values  $1, 2, \dots, n$  unless explicitly stated otherwise and follow the summation convention, while Roman indices are used for the nonholonomic components of a tensor and run from  $1$  to  $n$ . Roman indices also follow the summation convention.

With the vectors  $e_i^\nu$  and  $\hat{e}_\lambda^i$  a nonholonomic frame of  $V_n$  defined in the following way. If  $T_\lambda^{\nu\dots}$  are holonomic components of a tensor, then its nonholonomic components are defined by

$$(1.4) \quad T_{j\dots}^i \stackrel{def}{=} T_\lambda^{\nu\dots} e_j^\lambda e_i^\nu \dots$$

From (1.3) and (1.4)

$$(1.5) \quad T_{\lambda\dots}^{\nu\dots} \stackrel{def}{=} T_{j\dots}^i e_i^\nu e_\lambda^j \dots$$

## II. Preliminary results

In this section, for our further discussion, results obtained in our previous paper will be introduced without proof.

Theorem 2.1. We have

$$(2.1) \quad T^{\lambda\mu} = e_i^\lambda T_{\check{j}}^{\check{j}} e_\mu^{\check{j}} = e_\mu^i T_{\check{j}}^j e_{\check{j}}^i$$

**Theorem 2.2.** The derivative of  $e^{\lambda}_i$  is negative self-adjoint. That is

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_k(e^j_\lambda) e^\mu_j = -\partial_k(e^\mu_j) e^j_\lambda$$

**Theorem 2.3.** The nonholonomic components of the christoffel symbols of the second kind may be expressed as

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} = e^j_\nu e^\mu_k (\nabla_\mu e^\nu_j) = -e^j_\nu e^\mu_k (\nabla_\mu e^i_\nu)$$

, where  $\nabla_k$  is the symbol of the covariant derivative with respect to  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}$

**Theorem 2.4.** The holonomic components of the christoffel symbols, as follows;

$$(2.4)a \quad [\lambda\mu, w] = [\tilde{g}, m] e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu e^m_w + a_{jk} (\partial_\mu e^j_\lambda) e^k_\mu$$

$$(2.4)b \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \lambda\mu \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu e^\nu_i - (\partial_\mu e^\nu_j) e^j_\lambda \\ = \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu e^\nu_i + (\partial_\mu e^j_\lambda) e^j_\nu$$

**Theorem 2.5.** The holonomic components of the christoffel symbols of the second kind may be expressed as

$$(2.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \lambda\mu \end{matrix} \right\} = -e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu (\nabla_k e^\nu_j) = e^k_\mu e^j_\nu (\nabla_\mu e^j_\lambda)$$

### III. Covariant Derivatives of the Nonholonomic Covariant and Contravariant Vectors in $V_n$

We see the partial derivatives of the holonomic components of a vector is not components of a tensor in  $V_n$ .

In this paper, reconstruct and investigate the relationships between the partial derivative of the holonomic and nonholonomic components of a vector.

Take a coordinate system  $y^i$  for which we have at a point p of  $V_n$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\lambda} = e^j_\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial y^i} = e^\nu_i$$

We have

**Theorem 3.1.** The covariant derivative of the holonomic covariant vector, is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \left[ \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y^k} - a_i \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} \right] e^k_\mu e^j_\lambda \\ = \nabla_k(a_j) e^k_\mu e^j_\lambda$$

**Proof.** By means of the covariant derivative of holonomic vector

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial a_\lambda}{\partial x^\mu} - a_\nu \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \lambda\mu \end{matrix} \right\}$$

Using (1.5) and (2.4)b,

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} (a_j e^j_\lambda) - a_i e^\nu_j \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} \\ e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu + \partial_\mu (e^j_\lambda) e^j_\nu$$

By virtue of (1.3) and

$$(3.5) \quad a_i e^\nu_j (\partial_\mu e^j_\lambda) e^\nu_i = a_j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} e^j_\lambda \right) e^k_\mu$$

Hence we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} a_j \right) e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu - a_i \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} e^j_\lambda e^k_\mu \\ = \nabla_k(a_j) e^k_\mu e^j_\lambda$$

$$\text{, where } \nabla_k(a_j) = \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y^k} - a_i \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** We have the covariant derivative of the nonholonomic covariant vector is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla_k(a_j) = \left[ \frac{\partial a_\lambda}{\partial x^\mu} - a_\nu \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \lambda\mu \end{matrix} \right\} \right] e^\mu_k e^j_\lambda \\ = \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) e^\mu_k e^j_\lambda$$

**Proof.** Multiplying  $e_k^\mu e_j^\lambda$  to both sides of (3.2) and using (2.1) and (3.3), we obtain (3.7).

**Corollary 3.3.** We have

$$(3.8) \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^\mu} - a_j (\nabla_\mu e_\lambda^j)$$

**Proof.** Using (1.4), (2.4) and (3.3)

$$(3.9) \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial a_\lambda}{\partial x^\mu} - a_i e_\nu^i (\nabla_\mu e_\lambda^j) e_\mu^k e_j^\nu \\ = \frac{\partial a_\lambda}{\partial x^\mu} - a_j (\nabla_\mu e_\lambda^j)$$

**Corollary 3.4.** We have

$$(3.10) \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y^k} e_\lambda^j e_\mu^k + a_j (\nabla_\mu e_\beta^j)$$

**Proof.** From (3.2) and (2.3),

$$(3.11) \nabla_\mu(a_\lambda) = \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial y^k} e_\lambda^j e_\mu^k - a_i (\nabla_\mu e_j^\alpha) \\ e_\alpha^i e_k^r e_\mu^k e_\lambda^j$$

Making use of (1.3) and (2.2), we have (3.10).

**Theorem 3.5.** The covariant derivative of the holonomic contravariant vector may be expressed as following relation

$$(3.12) (\nabla_\mu a^\nu) = \nabla_k(a^i) e_i^\nu e_\mu^k$$

**Proof.** by means of the covariant derivative of the holonomic contravariant vector

$$(3.13) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial a^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + a^\lambda \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\}$$

From (1.5) and (2.4)b

$$(3.14) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} (a^i e_i^\nu) \\ + a^j e_\lambda^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ j k \end{matrix} \right\} e_i^\nu e_\lambda^j e_\mu^k + (\partial_\mu e_\lambda^j) e_j^\nu$$

Using (2.2) and (3.1)

$$(3.15) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial y^k} e_i^\nu e_\mu^k + a^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ j k \end{matrix} \right\} e_i^\nu e_\mu^k \\ + a^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} e_i^\nu \right) e_\mu^k - a^j (\partial_\mu e_j^\nu)$$

By virtue of (1.3)

$$(3.16) a^i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} e_i^\nu \right) e_\mu^k = a^j (\partial_\mu e_j^\nu)$$

We obtain

$$(3.17) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial y^k} e_i^\nu e_\mu^k + a^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ j k \end{matrix} \right\} e_i^\nu e_\mu^k \\ = \nabla_\mu(a^i) e_j^\nu e_\mu^k$$

, where  $\nabla_k(a^i) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial y^k} + a^j \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ j k \end{matrix} \right\}$

**Theorem 3.6.** We have the covariant derivative of the nonholonomic contravariant vector, as follows

$$(3.18) \nabla_k(a^i) = \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) e_\nu^j e_k^\mu$$

**Proof.** In order to prove (3.18), Multiplying  $e_\nu^j e_k^\mu$  to both sides of (3.18) and using (1.3).

$$(3.19) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) e_\nu^j e_k^\mu = \nabla_k(a^j)$$

Replacing  $j$  by  $i$  and  $\ell$  by  $k$ , we have (3.18).

**Corollary 3.7.** We have

$$(3.20) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial a^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} - a^i (\nabla_\mu e_i^\nu)$$

**Proof.** Making use of (2.5) and (3.13), (3.20) may be written in the form

$$(3.21) \nabla_\mu(a^\nu) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial x^\mu} + a^j e_\lambda^j (\nabla_k e_\mu^i) e_\mu^k e_j^\nu \\ = \frac{\partial a^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} - a^j (\nabla_\mu e_j^\nu)$$

Replacing  $i$  by  $j$ , we obtain (3.20).

$$(3.23) \quad \nabla_{\mu}^j(a^{\nu}) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial y^k} e_i^{\nu} e_{\mu}^k + a^j (\nabla_{\mu}^j e_j^{\nu})$$

Corollary 3.8. We have

$$(3.22) \quad \nabla_{\mu}^j(a^{\nu}) = \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial y^k} e_i^{\nu} e_{\mu}^k + a^i (\nabla_{\mu}^i e_j^{\nu}).$$

**Proof.** (3.23) can be also obtained from (3.17) by making use of (2.3) as follows

$$e_{\nu}^j e_i^{\nu} e_k^{\mu} e_{\mu}^k$$

By means of (1.3) and the properties of the Kronecker deltas, obtained (3.22).

#### Literature cited

- [1] C.E. Weatherburn. 1957. *An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry and the Tensor calculus*. Cambridge University Press
- [2] J.C.H. Gerretsen. 1962. *Lectures on Tensor calculus and Differential Geometry*. P. Noordhoff N.V. Groningen.
- [3] Chung K.T. & Hyun J.O. 1976. On the Nonholonomic Frames of  $V_n$ . *Yonsei Nonchong*, Vol. 13.
- [4] Hyun J.O. & Kim H.G. 1981. On the Christoffel Symbols of the Nonholonomic Frames in  $V_n$ .
- [5] Hyun J.O. & Bang E.S. 1981. On the Nonholonomic Components of the Christoffel Symbols in  $V_n$  (1).
- [6] Hyun J.O. & Kang T.C. 1983. A note on the Nonholonomic Self-Adjoint in  $V_n$ .

#### 國 文 抄 錄

Nonholonomic vector들의 derivative에 관한 성질은 이미 발표된바 있다. 본 논문에서는 Nonholonomic Tensor들의 성질을 Nonholonomic vector와 Nonholonomic 정의 및 Holonomic Tensor들의 성질을 이용하여 보다 새로운 결과들을 얻으므로서  $n$ -차원 Riemann 공간  $V_n$ 을 다른 각도에서 구성하고 연구할 수 있는 기초 이론을 정립코자 한다.