

碩士學位論文

Linear Operators That Preserve Maximal Column Rank of Fuzzy Matrices



濟州大學校 大學院
數學科
JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

朴 秀 路

110.437

2000年 12月

Linear Operators That Preserve Maximal Column Rank of Fuzzy Matrices

Soo-Roh Park

(Supervised by professor Seok-Zun Song)



A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GRADUATE SCHOOL
CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

December 2000

Linear Operators That Preserve Maximal Column Rank of Fuzzy Matrices

指導教授 宋 錫 準

朴 秀 路

이 論文을 理學 碩士學位 論文으로 提出함

2000年 12月



朴秀路의 理學 碩士學位 論文을 認准함

審査委員長 _____ 印

委

員

李 永 五 印

委

員

宋 錫 準 印

濟州大學校 大學院

2000年 12月

CONTENTS

Abstract

1 Introduction 1

2 Preliminaries 3

3 Linear Operators That Preserve Maximal Column Rank
Over $M_{m,n}(K)$ 11

References 19

Abstract(Korean)

Acknowledgement(Korean)

Abstract

In this thesis, we study the extent to which known properties of linear operators that preserve semiring ranks and column ranks of fuzzy matrices carry over to operators that preserve maximal column ranks.

We characterize the linear operators, T , on the set of $m \times n$ fuzzy matrices that preserve maximal column rank. For example, T preserves maximal column ranks if and only if T preserves maximal column rank 1 and it preserves maximal column rank 3. Other characterizations of maximal column rank-preserving operators are also given.



Chapter 1

Introduction

A *semiring* is essentially a ring in which the zero is required to have an additive inverse (a formal definition is given in chapter 2). Thus all rings with multiplicative identity are semirings. So are such combinatorially interesting systems as the Boolean algebra of subsets of a finite set (with addition being union and multiplication being intersection) and the nonnegative integers (with the usual arithmetic). Fuzzy matrices provide another example of matrices over a semiring. In this case, the semiring \mathbb{K} of scalars consists of the real numbers $0 \leq x \leq 1$ with $x + y = \max(x, y)$ and $xy = \min(x, y)$.

The concepts of matrix theory are defined over a semiring as over a field. Recently a number of authors have studied various problems of semiring matrix theory. One of them is the problem on the comparison of ranks (semiring rank, column rank, and maximal column rank) and the characterization of their preservers.

Beasley and Pullman obtained characterization of linear operators that

preserve semiring rank of fuzzy matrices(see [2]). Song characterized the column rank case, in [6].

In this thesis, we study the extent to which known properties of linear operators preserving semiring ranks and column ranks of matrices over 'chain semiring' (see Definition 2.2) carry over to operators preserving maximal column ranks. We obtain some characterizations of linear operators that preserve maximal column rank of fuzzy matrices and of matrices over chain semirings which is more general than the Boolean algebra.

In chapter 2, we introduce most of the definitions, notations, and preliminary results. In chapter 3, we give some characterizations of linear operators that preserve maximal column rank of matrices over chain semirings other than the binary Boolean algebra.



Chapter 2

Preliminaries

We start this chapter by introducing some basic definitions. A formal definition of a semiring is as follows:

Definition 2.1. ([3])

A *semiring* consists of a set \mathbb{S} and two binary operations on \mathbb{S} , addition and multiplication, such that;

- (1) \mathbb{S} is an abelian monoid under addition (identity denoted by 0);
- (2) \mathbb{S} is a monoid under multiplication (identity denoted by 1);
- (3) multiplication distributes over addition;
- (4) $s0 = 0s = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{S}$; and
- (5) $0 \neq 1$

Usually \mathbb{S} denotes both the semiring and the set. When some confusion could arise, we denote the semiring by $(\mathbb{S}, +, \times)$, if addition is denoted $+$ and

multiplication \times . The set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in a semiring \mathbb{S} is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$. The $m \times n$ zero matrices $0_{m,n}$ and the $n \times n$ identity matrix I_n are defined as if \mathbb{S} were a field. Addition, multiplication by scalars, and the product of matrices are also defined as if \mathbb{S} were a field. Thus $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ is a semiring under addition and matrix multiplication. If \mathbb{S} is not commutative, unless otherwise indicated we'll take the operation of multiplication by scalars to be left multiplication: $(s, A) \rightarrow sA$.

Definition 2.2. ([2]) Let \mathbb{S} be any set of two or more elements. If \mathbb{S} is totally ordered by $<$, that is, \mathbb{S} is a chain under $<$ (i.e. $x < y$ or $y < x$ for all distinct x, y in \mathbb{S}), then define $x + y = \max(x, y)$ and $xy = \min(x, y)$ for all x, y in \mathbb{S} . If \mathbb{S} has a universal lower bound and a universal upper bound, then \mathbb{S} becomes a semiring. This semiring is said to be a *chain semiring*.

Let H be any nonempty family of sets ordered by inclusion, $0 = \bigcap_{x \in H} x$, and $1 = \bigcup_{x \in H} x$. Then $\mathbb{S} = H \cup \{0, 1\}$ is a chain semiring. Let α, ω be real numbers with $\alpha < \omega$. Define $\mathbb{S} = \{\beta : \beta \in [\alpha, \omega]\}$. Then \mathbb{S} is a chain semiring with $\alpha = "0"$ and $\omega = "1"$. It is isomorphic to the chain semiring in the previous example with $H = \{[\alpha, \beta] : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \omega\}$.

Definition 2.3. ([2]) Let $\mathbb{F} \equiv \{\beta : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \beta \text{ is real}\}$. Then each member of $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ is called a *fuzzy matrix*.

If we take \mathbb{H} to be a singleton, say $\{a\}$, and denote the empty set by 0 and $\{a\}$ by 1 , the resulting chain semiring is merely the binary Boolean algebra, and denoted by \mathbb{B} .

Hereafter, otherwise specified, \mathbb{K} will denote a chain semiring which is not the binary Boolean algebra, all matrices will denote the $m \times n$ matrices over a chain semiring and we will write \mathbb{M} or $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{K})$ for $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$.

If \mathbb{V} is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{S}^k \equiv \mathbb{M}_{k,1}(\mathbb{S})$ that is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars, then \mathbb{V} is called a *vector space* over \mathbb{S} . The notions of subspace and of spanning or generating sets are the same as if \mathbb{S} were a field.

We will use the notation $\langle \mathbb{W} \rangle$ to denote the subspace spanned by the subset of \mathbb{W} of \mathbb{V} . As with fields, a basis for a vector space \mathbb{V} is a generating subset of the least cardinality. That cardinality is the *dimension*, $\dim(\mathbb{V})$, of \mathbb{V} .

Definition 2.4. ([1]) Let $A(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$. The *semiring rank*, $r(A) = r_{\mathbb{S}}(A)$, is the least integer k such that $A = BC$ for some $m \times k$ and $k \times n$ matrices B and C over \mathbb{S} . The *semiring rank* of the zero matrix is 0.

Lemma 2.1. ([2, Lemma 2.1]) *The semiring rank of a nonzero matrix A is the minimum number of semiring rank 1 matrices which sum to A .*

Definition 2.5. ([3]) The *column rank*, $c(A) = c_{\mathbb{S}}(A)$, of an $m \times n$ matrix A over \mathbb{S} is the dimension of the space $\langle A \rangle$ spanned by its columns.

Definition 2.6. ([3]) A set G of vectors over \mathbb{S} is *linearly dependent* if for some $g \in G$, $g \in \langle G \setminus \{g\} \rangle$. Otherwise, G is *linearly independent*.

Definition 2.7. ([4]) The *maximal column rank*, $mc(A) = mc_{\mathbb{S}}(A)$, of an $m \times n$ matrix A over \mathbb{S} is the maximal number of the columns of A which are linearly independent over \mathbb{S} .

The following is clear from those definitions;

Lemma 2.2. ([4]) For any $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$, we have

$$0 \leq r_{\mathbb{S}}(A) \leq c_{\mathbb{S}}(A) \leq mc_{\mathbb{S}}(A) \leq n.$$

The column rank of a matrix may actually exceed its semiring rank over some semirings. Various examples are found in [3]. For the case that column rank of a matrix can be strictly less than its maximal column rank, see [4]. We give the following example for Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.1. Let p be a nonzero nonunit element of \mathbb{K} . Consider,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & p & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since all the three columns of A are linearly independent, $mc(A) = 3$.

But $c(A) = 2$, because $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ generates $\langle A \rangle$.

Definition 2.8. ([3]) $\mu(\mathbb{S}, m, n)$ is the largest integer k such that for all $m \times n$ matrices A over \mathbb{S} , $r(A) = c(A)$ if $r(A) \leq k$.

Definition 2.9. ([4]) $\alpha(\mathbb{S}, m, n)$ is the largest integer k such that for all $m \times n$ matrices A over \mathbb{S} , $c(A) = mc(A)$ if $c(A) \leq k$.

Beasley and Pullman obtain the following relation between semiring rank and column rank over $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ in [3].

Theorem 2.1. ([3, Theorem 2 and 3])

(1) For any chain semiring \mathbb{K} , we have

$$\mu(\mathbb{K}, m, n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m \geq 2 \text{ and } n = 2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) For the binary Boolean algebra \mathbb{B} ,

$$\mu(\mathbb{B}, m, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{whenever } \min(m, n) = 1, \\ 3 & \text{for all } m \geq 3 \text{ and } n = 3, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3. ([4, Lemma 2.2]) Over any semiring \mathbb{S} , if $mc(A) > c(A)$ for some $p \times q$ matrix A , then for all $m \geq p$ and $n \geq q$, $\alpha(\mathbb{S}, m, n) < c(A)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a chain semiring. Then we have

$$\alpha(\mathbb{K}, m, n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } m = n = 2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the matrix A in Example 2.1. Then by lemma 2.3, we may conclude that

$$\alpha(\mathbb{K}, m, n) \leq 1 \quad \text{if } m \geq 2 \quad \text{and } n \geq 3.$$

If $c(B) = 1$, then clearly $mc(B) = 1$, for any matrix over \mathbb{K} . Thus,

$$\alpha(\mathbb{K}, m, n) = 1 \quad \text{if } m \geq 2 \quad \text{and } n \geq 2.$$

Suppose $m = n = 2$ and $c(A) = 2$. If $mc(A) = 1$, one column, say first, is the scalar multiple of the second column. But this is impossible, because $c(A) = 2$. Hence ,

$$\alpha(\mathbb{K}, m, n) = 2 \quad \text{if } m = n = 2.$$

It is trivial that $\alpha(\mathbb{K}, m, n) = 1$, for other values of m and n . □

Lemma 2.4. ([3]) *If the columns of $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$ are linearly independent, then $mc(A) = c(A) = n$.*

Lemma 2.5. ([6, Lemma 2.1]) *The column rank of a matrix is unchanged by pre-or post-multiplication by an invertible matrix. Furthermore, the column rank of a 2×2 matrix is unchanged by transposition.*

Lemma 2.6. *The maximal column rank of a matrix is unchanged by pre-or post-multiplication by an invertible matrix. Furthermore, the maximal column rank of a 2×2 matrix is unchanged by transposition.*

Proof. Note that an invertible matrix in $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ are precisely the permutation matrix. The rest follows from Lemma 2.5 using Theorem 2.2. □

A function T mapping $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ into $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ called an *operator* on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$. We introduce some definitions on T .

Definition 2.10. ([4]) Let T be an operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$.

- (i) T is *linear* if $T(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha T(A) + \beta T(B)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}$ and all $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$,

- (ii) T preserves semiring rank h if, for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ with $r(A) = h$,
 $r(T(A)) = r(A)$,
- (iii) T preserves column rank k if, for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ with $c(A) = k$,
 $c(T(A)) = c(A)$,
- (iv) T preserves maximal column rank l if, for any $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$ with $c(A) = l$,
 $mc(T(A)) = mc(A)$,
- (v) T is a congruence operator if there exist invertible matrices U and V
in $\mathbb{M}_{m,m}(\mathbb{S})$ and $\mathbb{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{S})$ respectively such that $T(A) = UAV$ for all
 $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$
- (vi) T is a transposition operator if $m = n$ and $T(A) = A^t$ for all $A \in$
 $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{S})$.

Lemma 2.7. Congruence operators on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ are linear, bijective, and preserves all maximal column rank.

Proof. Linearity follows from the linearity of matrix multiplication. The rest follows from Lemma 2.6. □

Let \mathbf{j}_k denote the column vector of length k all of whose entries are 1, and J_{mn} the $m \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are 1. When the orders are understood, we may drop the subscript on \mathbf{j}_k and J_{mn} . Let E_{ij} be the $m \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are 0 except the (i, j) th, which is 1.

Definition 2.11. ([2]) Let $X \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. The *norm* $\|X\|$ of X is defined by $\|X\| = \mathbf{j}^t X \mathbf{j}$ the sum of all entries in X . That is, $\|X\|$ is the maximum entry in X .

Note the mapping $X \rightarrow \|X\|$ preserves matrix addition and scalar multiplication.

Lemma 2.8. ([6, Lemma 2.3]) Suppose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $c(A) = 2$ if and only if $ad \neq bc$.

Lemma 2.9. Suppose



제주대학교 중앙도서관
JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $mc(A) = 2$ if and only if $ad \neq bc$.

Proof. $mc(A) = 2$ if and only if $c(A) = 2$, by Theorem 2.2. The result follows from Lemma 2.8. □

Lemma 2.10. If H is a submatrix of A , then $mc(H) \leq mc(A)$.

Proof. It is clear from definition of the maximal column rank. □

Chapter 3

Linear Operators that preserve maximal column rank over

$M_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$  제주대학교 중앙도서관
JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

In this chapter, we characterize linear operators that preserve maximal column rank over $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$. Hereafter, we shall adopt the convention $m \leq n$, and the set of matrices of maximal column rank 1 over a fixed chain semiring \mathbb{K} is denoted by C_1 .

Definition 3.1. ([6]) Two column rank 1 matrices A, B are said to be *separable* if there is a matrix X with $c(X) = 1$ such that either $1 = c(A + X) < c(B + X)$ or $1 = c(B + X) < c(A + X)$. In this case, X is said to separate A from B .

Definition 3.2. Two maximal column rank 1 matrices A, B are said to

be *separable* if there is a matrix X with $mc(X) = 1$ such that either $1 = mc(A + X) < mc(B + X)$ or $1 = mc(B + X) < mc(A + X)$. In this case, X is said to separate A from B .

Lemma 3.1. (*[6, Theorem 3.1]*) *Distinct column rank 1 matrices are separable if and only if at least one of them is not a scalar multiple of J .*

Theorem 3.1. *Distinct maximal column rank 1 matrices are separable if and only if at least one of them is not a scalar multiple of J .*

Proof. Notice that $mc(A) = 1$ if and only if $c(A) = 1$, by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.2. The results follows directly from Lemma 3.1. \square

The symbol \leq is read entrywise, i.e. $X \leq Y$ if and only if $x_{ij} \leq y_{ij}$ for all (i, j) .



Lemma 3.2. (*[2, Lemma 4.3]*)

If T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, $\min(m, n) > 1$, T preserves norm, and $A \leq T(A)$, then $T^q(A) = T^{mn-1}(A)$ for all $q \geq mn$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $\min(m, n) > 1$. If T preserves norm and maximal column rank 1 but is not injective on C_1 , then T reduces the maximal column rank of some matrix from $k(\geq 2)$ to 1.*

Proof. Since T is not injective on C_1 , $T(A) = T(B)$ for some A, B in C_1 with $A \neq B$. If $A = \alpha J$ and $B = \beta J$, then $\alpha = \beta$ because T preserves norms, contradicting our assumption that $A \neq B$. Therefore by Theorem 3.1, some

matrix X of maximal column rank 1 separates A from B . Say, $mc(A+X) = 1$ and $mc(X+B) = k \geq 2$. Since

$$T(X+B) = T(X) + T(B) = T(X) + T(A) = T(X+A),$$

T reduces the maximal column rank of $X+B$ from k to 1. □

Definition 3.3. ([6])

A linear operator T on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ *strongly preserves maximal column rank 1*, provided that $mc(X) = 1$ if and only if $mc(T(X)) = 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *If T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ $\min(m, n) > 1$, and T strongly preserves maximal column rank 1, then T preserves norm.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, $\alpha = \|A\|$ and $\beta = \|T(A)\|$; then $A = \alpha A$ and $\beta = \|T(A)\| = \|T(\alpha A)\| = \alpha \|T(A)\| \leq \alpha$. Suppose $\beta < \alpha$. Then for some (i, j) , $a_{ij} = \alpha$. Let Y be the matrix whose entries are all α except for $y_{ij} = 0$. Then $\alpha J = A + Y$. So $mc(A + Y) = 1$. Since $mc(\beta A + Y) \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10, but $mc(\beta A + Y) \leq 2$ by construction, we have $mc(\beta A + Y) = 2$. By linearity of T and definition of β , we have $T(\beta A) = \beta T(A) = T(A)$. Hence $T(\beta A + Y) = T(\beta A) + T(Y) = T(A) + T(Y) = T(A + Y) = \alpha T(J)$. So T reduces the maximal column rank of $\beta A + Y$ from 2 to 1, contrary to our hypothesis. Thus T preserves norm. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ and $\min(m, n) > 1$. If T strongly preserves maximal column rank 1, then T permutes Γ , where $\Gamma = \{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, T preserves norm. Therefore by Lemma 3.3, T is injective on C_1 . Suppose $T(E_{pq})$ is not in Γ for some (p, q) . Now $T(E_{pq}) = \sum \tau_{ij} E_{ij}$, for some τ_{ij} . But $\|T(E_{pq})\| = 1$, so $\tau_{uv} = 1$ for some (u, v) . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $(u, v) = (p, q)$, because if P, Q are permutation matrices, then the linear operator $X \rightarrow PT(X)Q$ preserves the maximal column ranks that T preserves (see Lemma 2.6.) and permutes Γ if and only if T does. Let $E = E_{pq}$. Then $E \leq T(E)$, so $E \neq T(E) \leq T^2(E) \leq \dots \leq T^k(E) = T^{k+h}(E)$, where k is the least integer for which equality holds and $h \geq 0$ is arbitrary. By Lemma 3.2, we are assured that k exists and is less than mn . Let $B = T^{k-1}(E)$. Then $B \neq T(B)$ but $T(B) = T(T(B))$, despite the fact that $B, T(B)$ are both in C_1 and T is injective on C_1 . This contradiction implies that T maps Γ into Γ . By injectivity, T permutes Γ . □

Let \mathbb{B} be the two element subsemiring $\{0, 1\}$ of \mathbb{K} , and α be a fixed member of \mathbb{K} , other than 1. For each x in \mathbb{K} define $x^\alpha = 0$ if $x \leq \alpha$, and $x^\alpha = 1$ otherwise. Then the mapping $x \rightarrow x^\alpha$ is a homomorphism of \mathbb{K} onto \mathbb{B} . Its entrywise extension to a mapping $A \rightarrow A^\alpha$ of $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{K})$ onto $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{B})$ preserves matrix sums and products and multiplication by scalars. We call A^α the α -*pattern* of A .

Example 3.1. For a nonzero nonunit $p \in \mathbb{K}$, consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p & p & p \\ p & p & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $mc(A) = 3$, because all the three columns of A are linearly independent. But $mc(A^t) = 2$. Consider $B = A \oplus 0_{m-3, m-3}$ for all $m \geq 3$. If T is a transposition operator over $\mathbb{M}_{m, m}(\mathbb{K})$, then $T(B) = B^t$ has maximal column rank 2 while $mc(B) = 3$. Thus a transposition operator does not preserve maximal column rank 3.

Earlier, linear operators that preserve semiring rank and column rank over $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{K})$ were characterized in [2] and [6], respectively. Also linear operators that preserve maximal column rank preserving operators over $\mathbb{M}_{m, n}(\mathbb{B})$ were characterized in [4]. For our purpose, we write those results as follows:

Lemma 3.6. (1) ([2, Theorem 4.2]) Suppose T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m, n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $n \geq m \geq 1$. Then T is bijective and preserves semiring rank 1 if and only if it is in the group of operators generated by congruence and transposition operators.

(2) ([6, Theorem 3.3]) Suppose T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m, n}(\mathbb{K})$ with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. Then T strongly preserves column rank 1 and it preserves column rank 3 if and only if it is a congruence operator.

(3) ([4, Theorem 3.2]) Suppose T is a linear operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m, n}(\mathbb{B})$ for $n \geq m \geq 4$. Then T preserves maximal column ranks 1, 2 and 3 if and only if it is a congruence operator. Moreover the transposition operator on $\mathbb{M}_{m, m}(\mathbb{B})$ does not preserve maximal column rank 3 for $m \geq 4$.

We say that an $m \times n$ matrix X is a *column matrix* if $X = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{e}_i)^t$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^m$ and $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{S}^n$, where \mathbf{e}_i is the vector with 1 in the i th position and 0 elsewhere.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose T is a linear operator on the $m \times n$ matrices over a chain semiring \mathbb{K} , where $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. If T strongly preserves maximal column rank 1, and it preserves maximal column rank 3, then T is a congruence operator.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathbb{M}} = \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{B})$. Lemma 3.5 and linearity imply that T maps $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$ into itself. Let \bar{T} denote the restriction of T to $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$. From the definition of maximal column rank, the maximal column rank $mc_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ of a member X of $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$ is at least $mc_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$, its maximal column rank as a member of $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$, because $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$. On the other hand, the mapping that takes a matrix A in $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{K})$ to its θ -pattern A^{θ} in $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$ preserves matrix sums and multiplication by scalars. Hence $mc_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = mc_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ for all X in $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$. Therefore \bar{T} strongly preserves maximal column rank 1, and it preserves maximal column rank 3.

Case 1 ($n \geq m \geq 4$). Since \bar{T} also permutes Γ by Lemma 3.5 and it strongly preserves maximal column rank 1, \bar{T} must map a column matrix either a column matrix or transpose of a column matrix if $m = n \geq 4$. For the latter case, \bar{T} is a composition of a transposition operator and pre-multiplication by a permutation matrix. Since transposition operator cannot preserve maximal column rank 3 by Lemma 3.6(3), \bar{T} must map a column matrix to a column matrix. Thus the linearity of \bar{T} implies that $mc_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{T}(X)) \leq mc_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ for all X in $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$. In particular, \bar{T} preserves maximal column rank 2. Hence \bar{T} is a congruence operator on $\bar{\mathbb{M}}$ by Lemma 3.6(3). Then $\bar{T}(X) = UXV$ for some invertible matrix over \mathbb{M} . Notice that matrices U, V are also invertible over \mathbb{K} ; in fact, they are just permutation matrices.

Let $A \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $T(A) = \sum a_{ij}T(E_{ij}) = \sum a_{ij}\bar{T}(E_{ij})$, because each E_{ij} is in $\bar{\mathbb{M}}(\mathbb{B})$. Since $\bar{T}(E_{ij}) = UE_{ij}V$ for all i, j by definition of congruence operator, the result follows directly from the linearity of matrix multiplication.

Case 2($n = 3$ and $2 \leq m \leq 3$). Theorem 2.2 guarantees that \bar{T} strongly preserves column rank 1. Note that $mc_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = 3$ if and only if $c_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = 3$ by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. Hence it preserves column rank 3, because if $c_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = 3$, then $3 = mc_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = mc_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{T}(X)) = c_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{T}(X))$. Also, \bar{T} strongly preserves semiring rank 1 and it preserves semiring rank 3, by Theorem 2.1(2). If $r_{\mathbb{B}}(X) = 2$ for $X \in \bar{M}$, then X can be factored as a sum of two matrices X_1 and X_2 whose semiring ranks are 1, by Lemma 2.1. Thus $\bar{T}(X) = \bar{T}(X_1) + \bar{T}(X_2)$ has semiring rank two or less. Since \bar{T} strongly preserves semiring rank 1, $r_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{T}(X)) = 2$. That is, \bar{T} preserves semiring rank 2. Therefore \bar{T} is in the group of operators generated by congruence (and if $m = n = 3$, also the transposition) operators by Lemma 3.6(1). Let $A \in M$. Then $T(A) = \sum a_{ij}T(E_{ij}) = \sum a_{ij}\bar{T}(E_{ij})$, since each E_{ij} is in \bar{M} . By similar argument as in case 1, there are permutation matrices U and V ($m \times m$ and $n \times n$ respectively) such that in the case $n = 3$ and $m = 2$, $T(A) = UAV$, while in the case $m = n = 3$, $T(A)$ is either UAV or UA^tV . However, since transposition operator does not preserve maximal column rank 3 by Example 3.1, we see that in fact, T must be a congruence operator. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose T is a linear operator on the $m \times n$ matrices over a chain semiring with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) T preserves all maximal column ranks.
- (ii) T strongly preserves maximal column rank 1 and it preserves maximal column rank 3.
- (iii) T is a congruence operator.
- (iv) T is bijective and preserves maximal column ranks 1 and 3.

Proof. It is obvious that (i) implies (ii). Theorem 3.2 establishes that (ii) implies (iii). According to Lemma 2.7, (iii) implies (i) and (iv). If T satisfies (iv), then T is in the group of operators generated by congruence and transposition operators by Lemma 3.6(1) and Theorem 2.2. Since the transposition operator does not preserve maximal column rank 3, T must be a congruence operator. Therefore, (iv) implies (iii). \square

How necessary is it that $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$? If $m = n = 2$, then a linear operator that preserves all maximal column ranks is the same as a linear operator that preserves all column ranks by Theorem 2.2. The characterizations of the column rank preservers were obtained in [6]. Thus we have characterizations of the linear operators that preserve the maximal column rank of matrices over a chain semiring (and in particular, of fuzzy matrices) when $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$.

References

- [1] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Boolean rank-preserving operators and Boolean rank-1 spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. **59**(1984) 55–77.
- [2] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Fuzzy rank-preserving operators*. Linear Algebra Appl. **73**(1986) 197–211.
- [3] L. B. Beasley and N. J. Pullman, *Semiring rank versus column rank*. Linear Algebra Appl. **101**(1988) 33–48.
- [4] S. G. Hwang, S. J. Kim and S. Z. Song, *Linear operators that preserve maximal column rank of Boolean matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **36** (1994) 305–313.
- [5] S. Z. Song, *Linear operators that preserve column rank of Boolean matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **119** (1993) 1085–1088.
- [6] S. Z. Song, *Linear operators that preserve column rank of fuzzy matrices*. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **62** (1994) 311–317.

<국문초록>

퍼지행렬의 극대열 계수를 보존하는 선형 연산자들

본 논문에서는 퍼지행렬의 반환 계수와 열계수를 보존하는 선형 연산자들의 특성에 관한 기존의 연구결과가 극대열 계수인 경우에도 적용될 수 있는가를 고찰하여 극대열 계수를 보존하는 선형연산자의 몇몇 특성을 밝혔다.

예를 들어 $m \geq 2$ 이고 $n \geq 3$ 인 경우, $m \times n$ 퍼지 행렬상에서의 선형 연산자 T 가 극대열계수를 보존하기 위한 필요충분 조건은 T 가 강하게 극대열 계수 1을 보존하고, T 가 극대열 계수 3을 보존하는 것이다.



감사의 글

먼저 부족한 저를 지도해 주신 송석준 교수님께 감사의 말씀을 전합니다. 이 논문이 나오기까지 끊임없는 배려와 관심을 가져주셨습니다. 석사과정에 있는 동안에 기꺼이 강의를 맡아주신 양영오, 정승달, 윤용식, 박진원 교수님께 감사드리며 특히 학부에서 저를 가르쳐주신 수학교육과의 여러 교수님께 고마움을 표합니다.

아직도 철이 덜든 아들의 대학원 생활을 말없이 지켜 보아주신 부모님과 가족들에게는 무한한 애정과 더불어 죄송함을 느낍니다. 언젠가 그 은혜에 미약하게나마 보답할 수 있으리라 다짐해봅니다.

힘겨울 때 격려해준 강경태 선배님과 후배 김두영, 논문에 몰입하도록 조언을 해주신 장은철 화백의 고마움도 결코 잊지 못할 것입니다. 이외에도 저의 이 논문이 나오기까지 말로는 다하지 못할 도움을 주신 소중한 분들이 많이 계십니다. 그분들을 일일이 거명하지 못함은 지면이 좁아서가 아니라 어리석은 제가 아직까지도 그런 고마움은 마음으로도 전해져야 한다는 믿음을 고수하기 때문입니다.